MONTAGU EVANS LLP

The United Kingdom Holocaust Memorial and Learning Centre Planning Inspectorate Reference: 3240661 Proof of Evidence Errata of Dr Chris Miele

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this note is to summarise a set of corrections to the Proof of Evidence of Dr Chris Miele (CD8.13).

Each erratum table below provides a reference to the location of the text in the document, the existing text, and the revised text.

2. CORRECTIONS

Erratum 1

Reference	Page Location
Paragraph 1.16	Page 5

Current Text

I note here my **Appendix 2.0**, which reproduces in A3 format historic images, maps and plans; maps and plans from various planning documents illustrating the designations and key views; drawings and computer generated images illustrating aspects of the proposals relevant to my assessment; and the independently verified AVRS published in the main HTVIA and the Addendum to the ES showing the revised entrance pavilion'

New Text

I note here my **Appendix 2.0**, which reproduces in A3 format historic images, maps and plans.

Erratum 2

Reference	Page Location
Paragraph 3.10	Page 10

Current Text

To assist the Inspector and SoS, we have prepared a separate A3 bundle of visual material comprising the views relevant to the matters considered at this Inquiry, historical maps, plans and photographs and certain images from the DAS (**Appendix 2.0**). This will make my Proof easier to use and I will draw on this material in my oral evidence.

New Text

To assist the Inspector and SoS, we have prepared a separate A3 bundle of visual material comprising historical maps, plans and photographs and certain images from the DAS (**Appendix 2.0**). This will make my Proof easier to use and I will draw on this material in my oral evidence.

Erratum 3

Reference	Page Location
Paragraph 6.108	Page 29

MONTAGU EVANS LLP

Current Text

Applying the Palmer approach, then the terms of paragraph 193 would therefore be met, the limited harms I identify outweigh the benefits.

New Text

Applying the Palmer approach, then the terms of paragraph 193 would therefore be met, the limited harms I identify are outweighed by the benefits.